

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF OREGON
 Benjamin Barber
 Vaneel, state of oregon | Motion for reconsideration
 Case no. 16-cv-02105-AC
 For judge Simon

The deadline set was October 12th, Barber had an Lay advocate send the DVD containing the video, another Lay advocate sent the original application sent to this Court previously, because he got it directly from the Court filings. Further it appears the documents I did send like a memo containing logical formulas "Proofs" does not appear, and documents I did send initially were not sent to you. Also I see there are three law clerks working this case, so I don't really know then who is handling the case the judges or the clerks and therefore who is in error because things don't add up.

I am going to request you personally take judicial notice of the Complaint (and dmca filings in April 2015)

↳ "Memorandum in Support of Claim"

↳ "Claim 1 exhibits" (Judges Copy should be sent to 9th circuit)

Amended objections

Memorandum of Logical proofs

Response to dismissal / Anti-SLAPP 112

17 USC 201, 301, 511, 28 USC 1454, 17 USC 506, 18 USC 2319

Lentz v Universal music group 9th Cir 2015

Malloney v +3 media inc 9th Cir 2017

Davis v United Talent agency 9th Cir 2017

Heck v humphrey footnote 9

The "act of Congress" exemption of Younger whamis
 Please actually address them and hold oral arguments